

Centre Policy: Ermysted's Grammar School

FOR A/AS LEVELS AND GCSES FOR SUMMER 2021



Centre Policy for determining teacher assessed grades – summer 2021: Ermysted's Grammar School

Statement of intent

This section outlines the purpose of this document in relation to our centre.

Statement of Intent

This section provides details of the purpose of this document, as appropriate to our centre.

It takes account of the guidance provided in the document: *JCQ Guidance on the determination of grades for A/AS Levels and GCSEs for summer 2021*:

The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure that teacher assessed grades are determined fairly, consistently, free from bias and effectively within and across subject areas.
- To ensure the operation of effective processes with clear guidelines and support for staff.
- To ensure that all staff involved in the processes clearly understand their roles and responsibilities.
- To support teachers to take evidence-based decisions in line with Joint Council for Qualifications guidance.
- To ensure the consideration of historical centre data in the process, and the appropriate decision making in respect of, teacher assessed grades.
- To support a high standard of internal quality assurance in the allocation of teacher assessed grades.
- To support our centre in meeting its obligations in relation to equality legislation.
- To ensure our centre meets all requirements set out by the Department of Education, Ofqual, the Joint Council for Qualifications and awarding organisations for Summer 2021 qualifications.
- To ensure the process for communicating to candidates and their parents and carers how they will be assessed is clear, in order to give confidence.

Roles and responsibilities

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the personnel in our centre who have specific roles and responsibilities in the process of determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Roles and Responsibilities

This section gives details of the roles and responsibilities within our centre:

Head of Centre

- Our Head of Centre, the Headteacher, M Evans, will be responsible for approving our policy for determining teacher assessed grades.
- Our Head of Centre has overall responsibility for the School as an examinations centre and will ensure that clear roles and responsibilities of all staff are defined.
- Our Head of Centre will confirm that teacher assessed grade decisions represent the academic judgement made by teachers and that the checks in place ensure these align with the guidance on standards provided by awarding organisations.
- Our Head of Centre will ensure a robust internal quality assurance process has been produced and signed-off in advance of results being submitted.

Senior Leadership Team and Subject Leaders

Our Senior Leadership Team and Subject Leaders will:

- provide training and support to our other staff.
- support the Head of Centre in the quality assurance of the final teacher assessed grades.
- ensure an effective approach within and across faculties and authenticating the preliminary outcome from single teacher subjects.
- be responsible for ensuring staff have a clear understanding of the internal and external quality assurance processes and their role within it.
- ensure that all teachers within their faculties make consistent judgements about candidate evidence in deriving a grade.
- ensure all staff conduct assessments under the appropriate levels of control with reference to guidance provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications.
- ensure teachers have the information required to make accurate and fair judgments.
- ensure that a Subject Leader Checklist is completed for each qualification that they are submitting.

Teachers/ Specialist Teachers / SENCo

Our teachers, specialist teachers and SENCo will:

- ensure they conduct assessments under our centre's appropriate levels of control and have sufficient evidence, in line with this Centre Policy and guidance from the Joint Council for Qualifications, to provide teacher assessed grades for each candidate they have entered for a qualification.
- ensure that the teacher assessed grade they assign to each candidate is a fair, valid and reliable reflection of the assessed evidence available for each candidate.
- make judgements based on what each candidate has been taught and what they have been assessed on, as outlined in the section on grading in the main JCQ guidance.
- produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort, that includes the nature of the assessment evidence being used, the level of control for assessments considered, and any

other evidence that explains the determination of the final teacher assessed grades. Any necessary variations for individual candidates will also be recorded.

- securely store and be able to retrieve sufficient evidence to justify their decisions.

Examinations Officer

Our Examinations Officer, L Whittaker, supported by S Church, will:

- support teaching staff in managing access arrangements, where required, in the completion of assessments.
- be responsible for the administration of our final teacher assessed grades and for managing the post-results services.

Training, support and guidance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the training, support and guidance that our centre will provide to those determining teacher assessed grades this year.

Training

This section provides details of the approach our centre will take to training, support and guidance in determining teacher assessed grades this year

- Teachers involved in determining grades in our centre will attend any centre-based training to help achieve consistency and fairness to all candidates.
- Teachers will take part in standardisation and moderation processes organised at faculty and subject level. Additional support and quality assurance measures will be provided for single teacher subjects, such as GCSE and GCE Art, GCSE Food and Nutrition, GCSE Latin, and GCSE and GCE Music. This will include collaborating with colleagues in other educational institutions.
- Teachers will engage fully with all training and support that has been provided by the Joint Council for Qualifications and the awarding organisations.

Support for Newly Qualified Teachers and teachers less familiar with assessment

This section provides details of our approach to training, support and guidance for teachers less familiar with assessment

- We do not have any NQTs at the Centre.
- All teachers who have qualified in the last three years are working in shared classes alongside more experienced colleagues and will thus receive the appropriate support and guidance.
- We will put in place additional internal reviews of teacher assessed grades for other teachers as appropriate.

Use of appropriate evidence

This section of our Centre Policy indicates how our centre will give due regard to the section in the JCQ guidance entitled: *Guidance on grading for teachers*.

A. Use of evidence

This section gives details in relation to our use of evidence.

- Teachers making judgements will have regard to the Ofqual Head of Centre guidance on recommended evidence, and further guidance provided by awarding organisations.
- As per JCQ guidance on the *Retention of Candidates' Work*, all evidence on which a candidate's grade is based, including copies of the candidate's work where available and any mark records, will be retained safely by the centre. If some evidence of candidates' work is not available (for example, because it was from earlier in the course and evidence was not retained at that point) the marks may still be used in determining the final grade. The evidence that is available can be considered by the awarding organisations if the candidate decides to appeal on the grounds of the grade being unreasonable based on the evidence provided.
- In all subjects at GCSE and GCE (except GCSE and GCE Art and Design, which will be based on NEA alone) we will be using candidate work produced in response to the additional assessment materials (AAM) provided by our awarding organisation(s) in a series of summer topic tests. Questions from other sources may also be included, but where used these questions will follow the same format as the AAM and will be marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.
- We will use non-exam assessment work (often referred to as coursework) even if this has not been fully completed. In GCSE and GCE Art and Design, the pupil's grade will be based on the NEA portfolio alone, whether it has been completed or not.
- In GCSE English Language, GCSE Modern Foreign Languages and GCE sciences (Biology, Chemistry, and Physics), we will determine and submit a separate grade or result for the endorsement. This result or grade will be based on work that has been completed towards the endorsement.
- In all subjects, except GCSE and GCE Art and Design, we will use work produced in centre-devised tasks that reflect the specification and follow the same format as awarding organisation materials. Typically, this work will have been collected under medium control (in the classroom) at school, with a small number of subjects using evidence completed remotely under timed conditions.
- We will use substantial class work (including work that took place during remote learning) in some subjects. Substantial pieces of homework will be used rarely. Where this is the case, for example as in GCSE Food and Nutrition, this will be indicated on the Assessment Record for that subject.
- We will use records of a candidate's capability and performance over the course of study in performance-based subjects such as Music, Food and Nutrition, and PE.

We provide further detail in the following areas:

Additional Assessment Materials

- We will make use of additional assessment materials in most subjects at GCSE and GCE to give candidates the opportunity to show what they know, understand or can do in an area of content that has been taught but not yet assessed. Where additional assessment

materials are used, this will be within a series of topic tests taken under timed and controlled conditions with external invigilation at the end of the course. To ensure balance in the coverage of specification content and the necessary assessment objectives, questions from other sources may be included, but where used these questions will follow a similar format to the AAM and will be marked in a way that reflects awarding organisation mark schemes.

- We will use additional assessment materials to give candidates an opportunity to show improvement, for example, or to validate or replace an existing piece of evidence.
- We will use additional assessment materials to support consistency of judgement between teachers or classes by giving everyone the same task to complete, except where we need to respond to individual circumstances.
- We will combine and/or remove elements of questions where, for example, a multi-part question includes a part which focuses on an element of the specification that has not been taught.

Our centre will ensure the appropriateness of evidence and balance of evidence in arriving at grades in the following ways:

- We will consider the level of control under which an assessment was completed, for example, whether the evidence was produced under high control and under supervision or at home.
- We will take steps to authenticate the work as the candidate's own, especially where that work was not completed within the school.
- We will consider the limitations of assessing a candidate's performance when using assessments that have been completed more than once, or drafted and redrafted, where this is not a skill being assessed.
- We will consider the specification and assessment objective coverage of the assessment.
- We will consider the depth and breadth of knowledge, understanding and skills assessed, especially higher order skills within individual assessments.

Determining teacher assessed grades

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to awarding teacher assessed grades.

Awarding teacher assessed grades based on evidence

We give details here of our centre's approach to awarding teacher assessed grades.

- Our teachers will determine grades based on evidence which is commensurate with the standard at which a candidate is performing, i.e. their demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills across the content of the course they have been taught.
- Our teachers will record how the evidence was used to arrive at a fair and objective grade, which is free from bias.
- Our teachers will produce an Assessment Record for each subject cohort and will share this with their Subject Leader. Any necessary variations for individual candidates will also be shared.

Internal quality assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to ensure internal standardisation of teacher assessed grades, to ensure consistency, fairness and objectivity of decisions.

Head of Centre Internal Quality Assurance and Declaration

Internal quality assurance

This section gives details of our approach to internal standardisation, within and across subject departments.

- We will ensure that all teachers involved in deriving teacher assessed grades read and understand this Centre Policy document.
- In subjects where there is more than one teacher and/or class in the department, we will ensure that in the summer topic tests and NEAs our centre marks candidates' work in a consistent way. This will be agreed with each Head of Faculty who will oversee the process for their subject areas.
- Steps will be taken to mitigate unconscious effects on objectivity, such as ensuring that candidate names are not shown on the topic tests taken in the summer term before being marked by staff.
- We will ensure that all teachers are provided with training and support to ensure they take a consistent approach to:
 - Arriving at teacher assessed grades
 - Marking of evidence
 - Reaching an holistic grading decision
 - Applying the use of grading support and documentation
- We will conduct internal standardisation across all grades.
- We will ensure that the Assessment Record will form the basis of internal standardisation and discussions across teachers to agree the awarding of teacher assessed grades.
- Where necessary, we will review and reflect on individual grading decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where appropriate, we will amend individual grade decisions to ensure alignment with the standards as outlined by our awarding organisation(s).
- Where there is only one teacher involved in marking assessments and determining grades, then the output of this activity will be reviewed by an appropriate member of staff within the centre.
 - This will be S Stewart, Head of Faculty for Creative Arts, and A Price, Head of Languages Faculty.
 - In GCSE and GCE Art and Design, GCSE Food and Nutrition, GCSE Latin, and GCSE and GCE Music, the subject teacher will collaborate with an external subject specialist from another centre to help inform the process of standardisation.
- In respect of equality legislation, we will consider the range of evidence for candidates of different protected characteristics that are included in our internal standardisation.

Comparison of teacher assessed grades to results for previous cohorts

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach we will take to compare our teacher assessed grades in 2021 with results from previous cohorts.

Comparison of Teacher Assessed Grades to results for previous cohorts

This section gives details of our internal process to ensure a comparison of teacher assessed grades at qualification level to results for previous cohorts in our centre taking the same qualification.

- We will compile information on the grades awarded to our candidates in past June series in which exams took place (e.g. 2017 - 2019).
- We will consider the size of our cohorts from year to year.
- We will consider the stability of our centre's overall grade outcomes from year to year.
- We will consider both subject and centre level variation in our outcomes during the internal quality assurance process.
- We will prepare a succinct narrative on the outcomes of the review against historic data which, in the event of significant divergence from the qualifications-levels profiles attained in previous examined years, which address the reasons for this divergence. This commentary will be available for subsequent review during the QA process.

This section gives details of the approach our centre will follow if our initial teacher assessed grades for a qualification are viewed as overly lenient or harsh compared to results in previous years.

- We will compile historical data giving appropriate regard to potential mixtures of A*-G and 9-1 grades in GCSEs. Where required, we will use the Ofqual guidance to convert legacy grades into the new 9 to 1 scale.
- We will bring together other data sources that will help to quality assure the grades we intend to award in 2021.

This section gives details of changes in our cohorts that need to be reflected in our comparisons.

- We will omit subjects that we no longer offer from the historical data.

Access Arrangements and Special Considerations

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the approach our centre will take to provide candidates with appropriate access arrangements and take into account mitigating circumstances in particular instances.

Reasonable adjustments and mitigating circumstances (special consideration)

This section gives details of our approach to access arrangements and mitigating circumstances (special consideration).

- Where candidates have agreed access arrangements or reasonable adjustments (for example a reader or scribe) we will make every effort to ensure that these arrangements are in place when assessments are being taken.
- Where an assessment has taken place without an agreed reasonable adjustment or access arrangement being offered by the teacher, we will either: remove that assessment from the basket of evidence and obtain alternative evidence, or increase the weighting that will be applied to relevant elements of the existing evidence, or allow use of that assessment, if not doing so would disadvantage the candidate, and ensure that the absence of the adjustment or arrangement had been considered when making the final judgement. Any such actions will be recorded and explained in the Assessment Record.
- Where serious illness or other personal circumstances might have affected performance in assessments used in determining a candidate's standard of performance, we will take account of this when making judgements, which may include seeking alternative evidence.
- We will record, as part of the Assessment Record, how we have incorporated any necessary variations to take account of the impact of serious illness or personal circumstances on the performance of individual candidates in assessments.
- To ensure consistency in the application of Special Consideration, we will ensure all teachers have read and understood the document: [JCQ – A guide to the special consideration process, with effect from 1 September 2020](#)

Addressing disruption/differential lost learning (DLL)

B. Addressing Disruption/Differentiated Lost Learning (DLL)

This section gives details of our approach to address disruption or differentiated lost teaching.

- Teacher assessed grades will be determined based on evidence of the content that has been taught and assessed for each candidate.

Objectivity

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to ensure objectivity of decisions.

Objectivity

This section gives a summary of the arrangements in place within our centre in relation to objectivity.

Staff will fulfil their duties and responsibilities in relation to relevant equality and disability legislation.

Senior Leaders, Subject Leaders and Head of Centre will consider:

- sources of unfairness and bias (situations/contexts, difficulty, presentation and format, language, conditions for assessment, marker preconceptions);
- how to minimise bias in questions and marking and hidden forms of bias; and
- bias in teacher assessed grades.

To ensure objectivity, all staff involved in determining teacher assessed grades will be made aware that:

- unconscious bias can skew judgements;
- the evidence presented should be valued for its own merit as an indication of performance and attainment;
- teacher assessed grades should not be influenced by candidates' positive or challenging personal circumstances, character, behaviour, appearance, socio-economic background, or protected characteristics;
- unconscious bias is more likely to occur when quick opinions are formed; and

Our internal standardisation process will help to ensure that there are different perspectives to the quality assurance process.

Recording decisions and retention of evidence and data

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our arrangements for recording decisions and for retaining evidence and data.

C. Recording Decisions and Retention of Evidence and Data

This section outlines our approach to recording decisions and retaining evidence and data.

- We will ensure that teachers and Subject Leaders maintain records that show how the teacher assessed grades process operated, including the rationale for decisions in relation to individual marks/grades.
- We will ensure that evidence is maintained across a variety of tasks to develop a holistic view of each candidate's demonstrated knowledge, understanding and skills in the areas of content taught.
- We will put in place recording requirements for the various stages of the process to ensure the accurate and secure retention of the evidence used to make decisions.
- We will comply with our obligations regarding data protection legislation.
- We will ensure that the grades accurately reflect the evidence submitted.
- We will ensure that evidence is retained electronically or on paper in a secure centre-based system that can be readily shared with our awarding organisation(s).

Authenticating evidence

D. Authenticating evidence

This section of our Centre Policy details the mechanisms in place to ensure that teachers are confident in the authenticity of evidence, and the process for dealing with cases where evidence is not thought to be authentic.

- Robust mechanisms will be in place to ensure that teachers are confident that work used as evidence is the candidates' own and that no inappropriate levels of support have been given to candidates to complete it, either within the centre or with external tutors.
- End of year assessments will have been conducted under timed and controlled conditions with external invigilation.
- The topic tests that will be completed in the summer term are intended to be conducted under timed and controlled conditions with external invigilation. Where this is not practicable, for example, where a candidate is required to self-isolate at the time of the assessment, the topic tests will be completed away from school, at the same time as the other candidates, under remote supervision via an online platform. Where the candidate is unable to sit the assessment at the same time, alternative evidence will be sought, or increased weighting will be applied to relevant elements of the existing evidence.
- Other assessments will have been completed within a time constraint and either under teacher supervision in classrooms or remotely, but without direct supervision.
- Where used, homework assignments will have been completed without direct supervision and over several days.
- When determining the final grade, due consideration will be given to conditions under which the evidence was collected.
- Candidates will be asked to sign a declaration that the evidence being used is their own work.
- Where teachers have expressed concerns about the authenticity of a candidate's work, this will not be used. Where possible, alternative evidence will be sought, or increased weighting will be applied to relevant elements of the existing evidence.
- It is understood that awarding organisations will investigate instances where it appears evidence is not authentic. We will follow all guidance provided by awarding organisations to support these determinations of authenticity (see links below).
 - AQA - www.aqa.org.uk
 - Edexcel - qualifications.pearson.com
 - OCR - www.ocr.org.uk
 - WJEC - www.wjec.co.uk
 - JCQ - www.jcq.org.uk

Confidentiality, malpractice and conflicts of interest

Confidentiality

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to ensure the confidentiality of the grades our centre determines, and to make students aware of the range of evidence on which those grades will be based.

A. Confidentiality

This section details the measures in place in our centre to maintain the confidentiality of grades, while sharing information regarding the range of evidence on which the grades will be based.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the need to maintain the confidentiality of teacher assessed grades.
- All teaching staff have been briefed on the requirement to share details of the range of evidence on which candidates' grades will be based, while ensuring that details of the final grades remain confidential.
- Relevant details from this Policy, including requirements around sharing details of evidence and the confidentiality requirements, have been shared with parents and carers.

Malpractice

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to prevent malpractice and other breaches of exam regulations, and to deal with such cases if they occur.

B. Malpractice

This section details the measures in place in our centre to prevent malpractice and, where that proves impossible, to handle cases in accordance with awarding organisation requirements.

- Our general centre policies regarding malpractice, maladministration and conflicts of interest have been reviewed to ensure they address the specific challenges of delivery in Summer 2021.
- All staff involved have been made aware of these policies and have received training in them, as necessary.
- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific types of malpractice which may affect the Summer 2021 series including:
 - breaches of internal security;
 - deception;
 - improper assistance to candidates;
 - failure to appropriately authenticate a candidate's work;
 - over direction of candidates in preparation for common assessments;
 - allegations that centres submit grades that are not supported by evidence and that they know to be inaccurate;
 - centres enter candidates who were not originally intending to certificate a grade in the Summer 2021 series;
 - failure to engage as requested with awarding organisations during the External Quality Assurance and appeal stages; and
 - failure to keep appropriate records of decisions made and teacher assessed grades.

- The consequences of malpractice or maladministration as published in the JCQ guidance: JCQ Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures and including the risk of a delay to candidates receiving their grades, up to, and including, removal of centre status have been outlined to all relevant staff.

Conflicts of Interest

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the measures in place to address potential conflicts of interest.

C. Conflicts of Interest

This section details our approach to addressing conflicts of interest, and how we will respond to such allegations.

- To protect the integrity of assessments, all staff involved in the determination of grades must declare any conflict of interest such as relationships with candidates to our Head of Centre for further consideration.
- Our Head of Centre will take appropriate action to manage any conflicts of interest arising with centre staff in accordance with the JCQ documents - [General Regulations for Approved Centres, 1 September 2020 to 31 August 2021.](#)
- We will also carefully consider the need if to separate duties and personnel to ensure fairness in later process reviews and appeals.

Private candidates

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to working with Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades.

A. Private Candidates

This section details our approach to providing and quality assuring grades to Private Candidates.

- Our arrangements for assessing Private Candidates to arrive at appropriate grades are as close as is possible to the approaches utilised for internal candidates. It is our intention that the Private Candidates sit the topic tests at the same time as the main cohort.
- Where it has been necessary to utilise different approaches, the **JCQ Guidance on Private Candidates** has been followed and any divergences from our approach for internal candidates have been recorded on the appropriate class/student documentation.
- In undertaking the review of cohort grades in conjunction with our centre results profiles from previous examined years, the grades determined by our centre for Private Candidates have been excluded from our analysis.

External Quality Assurance

This section of our Centre Policy outlines the arrangements in place to comply with awarding organisation arrangements for External Quality Assurance of teacher assessed grades in a timely and effective way.

A. External Quality Assurance

This section outlines the arrangements we have in place to ensure the relevant documentation and assessment evidence can be provided in a timely manner for the purposes of External Quality Assurance sampling, and that staff can be made available to respond to enquiries.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the awarding organisation requirements for External Quality Assurance as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- All necessary records of decision-making in relation to determining grades have been properly kept and can be made available for review as required.
- All candidate evidence on which decisions regarding the determination of grades, where available, has been retained and can be made available for review as required.
- Instances where candidate evidence used to decide teacher assessed grades is not available, for example where the material has previously been returned to candidates and cannot now be retrieved, will be clearly recorded on the appropriate documentation.
- All staff involved have been briefed on the possibility of interaction with awarding organisations during the different stages of the External Quality Assurance process and can respond promptly and fully to enquiries, including attendance at Virtual Visits should this prove necessary.
- Arrangements are in place to respond fully and promptly to any additional requirements/reviews that may be identified as a result of the External Quality Assurance process.
- Staff have been made aware that a failure to respond fully and effectively to such additional requirements may result in further action by the awarding organisations, including the withholding of results.

Results

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to the receipt and issue of results to candidates and the provision of necessary advice and guidance.

A. Results

This section details our approach to the issue of results to candidates and the provision of advice and guidance.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the specific arrangements for the issue of results in Summer 2021, including the issuing of A/AS and GCSE results in the same week.
- Arrangements will be made to ensure the necessary staffing, including exams office and support staff, to enable the efficient receipt and release of results to our candidates.
- Arrangements will be in place for the provision of advice, guidance and support, including pastoral support, to candidates on receipt of their results.
- Such guidance will include advice on the appeals process in place in 2021 (see below).
- Appropriate staff will be available to respond promptly to any requests for information from awarding organisations, for example regarding missing or incomplete results, to enable such issues to be swiftly resolved.
- Candidates, parents and carers will be made aware of arrangements for results days.

Appeals

This section of our Centre Policy outlines our approach to Appeals, to ensure that they are handled swiftly and effectively, and in line with JCQ requirements.

A. Appeals

This section details our approach to managing appeals, including Centre Reviews, and subsequent appeals to awarding organisations.

- All staff involved have been made aware of the arrangements for, and the requirements of, appeals in Summer 2021, as set out in the **JCQ Guidance**.
- Internal arrangements will be in place for the swift and effective handling of Centre Reviews in compliance with the requirements.
- All necessary staff have been briefed on the process for, and timing of, such reviews, and will be available to ensure their prompt and efficient handling.
- Candidates have been appropriately guided as to the necessary stages of appeal.
- Arrangements will be in place for the timely submission of appeals to awarding organisations, including any priority appeals, for example those on which university places depend.
- Arrangements will be in place to obtain the written consent of candidates to the initiation of appeals, and to record their awareness that grades may go down as well as up on appeal.
- Appropriate information on the appeals process will be provided to parents and carers.